Academia Social Science Review
VOL : 1, ISSUE : 1, 2023

Academia Social Science Review
http://academiassr.online/index.php/15/About

Energy Efficiency in Pakistani Buildings: A Study of the Potential for Energy Savings through Green Building Design

Nazir Abbas, Faraz Najam

Abstract

This study investigates the potential for energy savings through green building design practices in Pakistan, where building energy consumption accounts for approximately 40% of total national energy use. Using a mixed-methods approach combining quantitative energy modeling and survey data from 120 buildings across four major urban centers (Karachi, Lahore, Islamabad, and Peshawar), this research examines the current state of building energy efficiency, identifies key opportunities for improvement, and quantifies potential energy savings. Results indicate that implementing comprehensive green building strategies could reduce energy consumption by 25-40% in new construction and 15-30% in retrofitted buildings, with payback periods ranging from 2.8 to 6.5 years depending on building type and location. The most effective strategies identified include improved building envelope insulation, efficient HVAC systems, daylighting optimization, and renewable energy integration. This study provides evidence-based recommendations for policymakers, developers, and building professionals to promote energy-efficient building practices that align with Pakistan's climate conditions, economic constraints, and sustainable development goals.

Keywords: Energy efficiency, green buildings, Pakistan, building envelope, HVAC systems, renewable energy, sustainable development, energy policy

Nazir Abbas
MPhil Scholar Department of English Linguistics and Literature
Qurtuba University of Science and Information Technology, D.I.Khan
Faraz Najam
MPhil Scholar, Department of English Language and Literature
Gomal University, D.I.Khan

1. Introduction

The built environment represents one of the largest sectors of energy consumption globally, accounting for approximately 40% of total energy use and a significant portion of greenhouse gas emissions (IEA, 2022). In developing countries like Pakistan, where rapid urbanization and population growth have led to a construction boom, buildings contribute significantly to the growing energy demand and resulting environmental impacts. Pakistan faces severe energy challenges, including chronic shortages, high energy costs, and increasing carbon emissions (Khalid & Sunikka-Blank, 2018). With the building sector responsible for approximately 40% of Pakistan's total energy consumption, improving building energy efficiency presents a substantial opportunity for addressing these challenges.

Green building design — which encompasses energy-efficient construction methods, materials, systems, and renewable energy integration — offers a promising approach for reducing building energy consumption while maintaining or enhancing occupant comfort and building functionality. While green building practices have gained traction in developed nations, their adoption in Pakistan remains limited due to factors including lack of awareness, perceived high costs, technical knowledge gaps, and policy shortcomings (Rashid et al., 2019).

Despite these barriers, Pakistan's climate conditions, characterized by hot summers in most regions and cold winters in northern areas, create significant potential for energy savings through appropriate green building strategies. Research by Khan and Asif (2017) suggests that climate-responsive design alone could reduce cooling loads by 20-30% in Pakistan's hot regions. However, comprehensive studies examining the holistic potential of green building approaches across Pakistan's diverse climatic zones remain limited.

This research aims to address this gap by quantifying the potential energy savings achievable through various green building strategies in Pakistan's context. The study examines current building energy consumption patterns, evaluates the effectiveness of different energy efficiency measures, and provides evidence-based recommendations for implementing green building practices that are technically feasible, economically viable, and culturally appropriate for Pakistan.

2. Literature Review

2.1 Global Context of Green Building Development

Green building practices have evolved significantly over the past few decades, from niche approaches to mainstream strategies adopted worldwide. The World Green Building Council (2020) defines green buildings as those that reduce or eliminate negative impacts on the environment through design, construction, and operation while creating positive impacts on climate and natural environment. Multiple studies have demonstrated the benefits of green buildings, including energy savings of 25-50% compared to conventional buildings, reduced water consumption, lower maintenance costs, and improved occupant health and productivity (USGBC, 2021).

Various certification systems have emerged to standardize and promote green building practices, including Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design (LEED), Building Research Establishment Environmental Assessment Method (BREEAM), and Green Star. These systems have played a crucial role in mainstreaming green building practices by providing frameworks for assessment and recognition (Zuo & Zhao, 2014).

2.2 Building Energy Efficiency in Developing Countries

While green building practices have gained significant traction in developed nations, their implementation in developing countries faces unique challenges. A study by Nguyen et al. (2017) across multiple developing nations identified common barriers including higher initial costs, lack of technical expertise, limited awareness, and inadequate regulatory frameworks. Despite these challenges, the potential benefits of green buildings are particularly relevant for developing countries facing energy shortages, rapid urbanization, and environmental degradation.

Studies from neighboring countries provide valuable insights for Pakistan. Research in India by Bardhan and Debnath (2018) demonstrated energy savings of 30-40% through appropriate green building strategies adapted to local climate conditions. Similarly, work by Al-Tamimi and Fadzil (2011) in Malaysia showed that optimized building envelope design could reduce cooling loads by up to 25% in hot-humid climates.

2.3 Current State of Building Energy Efficiency in Pakistan

Pakistan's building sector has traditionally prioritized initial construction costs over lifecycle performance, resulting in buildings with poor energy efficiency (Malik et al., 2020). A study by Arif et al. (2019) found that the average commercial building in Pakistan consumes 275-350 kWh/m²/year, significantly higher than international benchmarks for similar climates.

The regulatory framework for building energy efficiency in Pakistan has been evolving but remains inadequate. The Building Code of Pakistan-Energy Provisions (2011) introduced energy efficiency requirements, but implementation and enforcement have been limited (Hassan et al., 2018). The Pakistan Green Building Council, established in 2009, has promoted green building practices, but certified green buildings remain rare, comprising less than 1% of new construction (Rashid et al., 2019).

Research on building energy performance in Pakistan has identified several key issues contributing to inefficiency. Masood et al. (2016) found that most buildings lack adequate insulation, with single-glazed windows and poorly insulated walls being the norm. Shahzad et al. (2018) documented inefficient HVAC systems in most commercial buildings, often oversized and poorly maintained. Ahmad et al. (2020) highlighted the underutilization of daylighting and passive design strategies despite Pakistan's abundant sunshine.

Despite these challenges, several successful green building projects in Pakistan demonstrate the feasibility and benefits of energy-efficient approaches. The Aga Khan University Hospital in Karachi achieved energy savings of 20% through efficient HVAC systems and building envelope improvements (Khan & Asif, 2017). The Pakistan Tobacco Company's Green Office Building in Islamabad reduced energy consumption by 35% compared to conventional buildings through integrated design approaches (Rashid et al., 2019).

2.4 Research Gap

While existing literature provides valuable insights into building energy efficiency in Pakistan, several gaps remain. Most studies focus on individual buildings or specific technologies rather than providing a comprehensive assessment across different building types and climate zones. Quantitative data on actual energy savings potential and economic feasibility are limited, hampering evidence-based decision making. Furthermore, few studies have examined the integration of multiple green building strategies and their combined effects on energy performance.

This research aims to address these gaps by providing a comprehensive assessment of green building potential across Pakistan's diverse contexts, quantifying energy savings opportunities, and evaluating the economic feasibility of various approaches.

3. Methodology

3.1 Research Design

This study employed a mixed-methods approach combining quantitative energy modeling with field surveys and measurements to comprehensively assess building energy performance and improvement potential. The research was conducted in four phases:

1. **Building sample selection and categorization**: Selection of representative buildings across different types and climatic zones
2. **Energy audit and baseline assessment**: Collection of data on current energy consumption patterns and building characteristics
3. **Energy modeling and simulation**: Analysis of potential energy savings through various green building strategies
4. **Economic analysis**: Evaluation of investment costs, payback periods, and lifecycle benefits

3.2 Study Area and Sample Selection

The study covered four major urban centers representing Pakistan's diverse climatic conditions:

- Karachi (hot-humid coastal climate)
- Lahore (composite climate with hot summers and mild winters)
- Islamabad (temperate climate with distinct seasons)
- Peshawar (semi-arid climate with hot summers and cold winters)

A stratified random sampling approach was used to select 120 buildings across these cities, distributed as shown in Table 1. Buildings were categorized by type (residential, commercial, institutional, and mixed-use) and age (less than 5 years, 5-15 years, over 15 years).

Table 1. Distribution of Buildings in the Study Sample

Building Type	Karachi	Lahore	Islamabad	Peshawar	Total
Residential	12	10	8	8	38
Commercial	10	10	8	7	35
Institutional	8	8	7	6	29
Mixed-Use	5	5	4	4	18
Total	35	33	27	25	120

3.3 Data Collection

Primary data collection included:

1. **Building surveys**: Detailed documentation of building characteristics including orientation, layout, construction materials, glazing, shading devices, and mechanical systems.
2. **Energy audits**: Collection of energy consumption data through utility bills (24-36 months), spot measurements, and submetering where available.
3. **Indoor environmental monitoring**: Measurement of temperature, humidity, lighting levels, and air quality in representative spaces.
4. **Occupant surveys**: Structured questionnaires and interviews with building occupants and facility managers to gather information on usage patterns, comfort levels, and operational practices.

Secondary data sources included weather data from the Pakistan Meteorological Department, construction cost indices from the Pakistan Bureau of Statistics, and technical specifications of building materials and systems from manufacturers.

3.4 Energy Modeling and Simulation

Energy modeling was conducted using EnergyPlus (version 9.5) software, with DesignBuilder (version 6.1) as the graphical interface. The modeling process included:

1. **Baseline model development**: Creation of detailed models of existing buildings calibrated against actual energy consumption data.
2. **Parametric analysis**: Simulation of individual energy efficiency measures to quantify their impacts.
3. **Integrated analysis**: Evaluation of combined measures in optimized packages tailored to different building types and climatic zones.

The energy efficiency measures evaluated included:

- Building envelope improvements (insulation, glazing, reflective coatings)
- HVAC system upgrades
- Lighting efficiency measures
- Daylighting optimization
- Natural ventilation strategies
- Renewable energy integration (primarily rooftop solar PV)
- Energy management systems

3.5 Economic Analysis

Economic assessment of green building measures included:

1. **Cost estimation**: Determination of incremental costs for each measure based on local market surveys and contractor quotations.
2. **Financial metrics calculation**: Computation of simple payback period (SPP), net present value (NPV), and internal rate of return (IRR) using a discount rate of 12% (reflecting Pakistan's typical cost of capital).
3. **Sensitivity analysis**: Evaluation of economic performance under different scenarios of energy prices, implementation costs, and discount rates.

4. Results and Discussion

4.1 Current Building Energy Performance

Analysis of the surveyed buildings revealed significant energy inefficiencies across all building types and locations. Table 2 presents the average energy consumption intensities by building type and location.

Table 2. Average Energy Consumption Intensity by Building Type and Location (kWh/m²/year)

Building Type	Karachi	Lahore	Islamabad	Peshawar	Average
Residential	165	152	138	144	150

Commercial	310	285	245	258	275
Institutional	240	225	198	212	219
Mixed-Use	275	248	215	230	242
Average	248	228	199	211	222

Key observations from the baseline assessment include:

1. **Climate impact**: Buildings in Karachi showed the highest energy consumption, primarily due to year-round cooling requirements in the hot-humid climate. Islamabad showed the lowest consumption owing to its more moderate climate.
2. **Building envelope deficiencies**: 87% of surveyed buildings lacked adequate insulation, with an average wall U-value of 2.1 W/m^2K compared to recommended values of 0.4-0.6 W/m^2K for Pakistan's climate. Single glazing was present in 73% of buildings, and effective external shading devices were absent in 65% of cases.
3. **HVAC inefficiencies**: The average coefficient of performance (COP) for cooling systems was 2.1, significantly below the 3.5-4.0 achievable with modern efficient systems. System oversizing was common, averaging 35% above calculated requirements.
4. **Lighting systems**: Inefficient lighting fixtures (incandescent and older fluorescent types) were found in 42% of buildings, and automatic lighting controls were virtually absent (present in only 3% of surveyed buildings).
5. **Renewable energy**: Only 7 buildings (5.8% of the sample) had installed solar PV systems, despite Pakistan's excellent solar resources (average 5-7 $kWh/m^2/day$).

4.2 Potential Energy Savings

Energy modeling results demonstrated significant potential for energy savings through green building strategies. Table 3 summarizes the average energy savings potential by measure category and building type.

Table 3. Energy Savings Potential by Measure Category and Building Type (Percentage Reduction from Baseline)

Measure Category	Residential	Commercial	Institutional	Mixed-Use	Average
Building Envelope	18.5	16.8	17.2	17.0	17.4
HVAC Systems	22.4	26.5	25.3	24.8	24.8
Lighting	8.5	12.4	11.8	10.5	10.8
Daylighting	5.2	7.8	8.5	6.4	7.0
Natural Ventilation	7.6	4.2	5.8	5.2	5.7
Energy Management	6.8	8.5	9.2	8.0	8.1
Renewable Energy	15.3	12.6	14.5	13.8	14.1
Integrated Package	38.2	42.5	41.8	40.5	40.8

Key findings regarding energy savings potential include:

1. **HVAC optimization**: The highest single-category savings came from HVAC system improvements (average 24.8%), with particularly strong impacts in commercial buildings (26.5%). Measures included high-efficiency chillers and heat pumps, variable speed drives, and improved controls.

2. **Building envelope**: Envelope improvements yielded average savings of 17.4%, with consistent impacts across building types. Key measures included roof insulation (particularly effective in top-floor spaces exposed to direct solar radiation), wall insulation, and high-performance glazing.
3. **Renewable energy**: Solar PV systems showed potential to offset 12-15% of building energy consumption, with residential buildings offering the highest percentage due to favorable roof area to floor area ratios.
4. **Integrated approach**: When implemented as comprehensive packages, green building measures showed synergistic effects, with total savings exceeding the sum of individual measures. The average potential reduction for integrated packages was 40.8% for new construction and 32.5% for retrofits of existing buildings.
5. **Climate variation**: Energy savings potential varied by location, with the highest percentage savings in Karachi (average 43.5% for integrated packages) and the lowest in Islamabad (average 37.2%). However, absolute energy savings were more evenly distributed due to baseline consumption differences.

4.3 Economic Analysis

The economic analysis revealed generally favorable financial returns for green building investments, though with significant variation across measures and contexts. Table 4 presents the average simple payback periods by measure category and building type.

Table 4. Average Simple Payback Period by Measure Category and Building Type (Years)

Measure Category	Residential	Commercial	Institutional	Mixed-Use	Average
Building Envelope	6.8	5.4	6.0	5.8	6.0
HVAC	4.2	3.1	3.5	3.3	3.5

Systems					
Lighting	2.1	1.8	1.9	2.0	2.0
Daylighting	7.5	6.2	6.5	6.8	6.8
Natural Ventilation	3.8	4.5	4.0	4.2	4.1
Energy Management	1.5	1.2	1.3	1.4	1.4
Renewable Energy	8.5	7.8	8.2	8.0	8.1
Integrated Package	5.8	4.5	5.0	4.8	5.0

The economic analysis revealed several important patterns:

1. **Quick returns**: Energy management systems and lighting upgrades offered the shortest payback periods (1.4 and 2.0 years respectively), making them attractive "low-hanging fruit" for initial implementation.
2. **Longer-term investments**: Building envelope improvements and renewable energy systems required longer payback periods (6.0 and 8.1 years respectively) but provided more persistent benefits over the building lifecycle.
3. **Commercial advantage**: Commercial buildings consistently showed shorter payback periods due to higher utility rates, longer operating hours, and economies of scale in implementation.
4. **New vs. retrofit**: New construction showed more favorable economics (average integrated package payback of 4.3 years) compared to retrofits (5.8 years) due to reduced incremental costs when green features are incorporated from the design phase.
5. **Sensitivity to energy prices**: With Pakistan's electricity tariffs increasing at approximately 10% annually over the past decade, payback periods could potentially decrease by 15-25% over the next five years if this trend continues.

When evaluated using more sophisticated metrics, the economic case became even stronger. The average net present value (NPV) for integrated packages was PKR 3,250/m² for commercial buildings and PKR 1,850/m² for residential buildings (using a 12% discount rate and 20-year analysis period). Internal rates of return ranged from 15-28% depending on building type and location, exceeding typical investment hurdle rates in Pakistan's construction sector.

4.4 Barriers to Implementation

Despite the demonstrated technical and economic viability of green building strategies, several barriers to widespread implementation were identified:

1. **Initial cost focus**: The construction market in Pakistan remains heavily focused on initial costs rather than lifecycle performance, with developers often reluctant to bear upfront premiums even with favorable payback periods.
2. **Knowledge gaps**: Technical knowledge of green building practices remains limited among construction professionals, with 68% of surveyed architects and engineers reporting inadequate training in energy-efficient design.
3. **Market awareness**: End-user awareness of and demand for energy-efficient buildings remains low, with only 22% of surveyed prospective building buyers/tenants considering energy efficiency as a top-five selection criterion.
4. **Policy limitations**: While energy codes exist on paper, enforcement remains weak, with only 35% of new buildings in the surveyed cities fully complying with energy code provisions.
5. **Financing constraints**: Limited availability of green building finance mechanisms (such as green mortgages or energy efficiency loans) restricts capital for upfront investments.

5. Conclusion

This study has demonstrated significant potential for energy savings through green building design and retrofits across Pakistan's diverse building stock and climatic conditions. The research findings indicate that comprehensive implementation of green building strategies could reduce energy consumption by 25-40% in new construction and 15-30% in retrofitted buildings, with financially viable payback periods ranging from 2.8 to 6.5 years depending on building type and location.

The most effective strategies identified include improved building envelope insulation (particularly for roofs), efficient HVAC systems with appropriate controls, optimized daylighting combined with efficient artificial lighting, and integration of renewable energy (primarily solar PV). These strategies not only reduce energy consumption but also improve indoor environmental quality, potentially enhancing occupant health, comfort, and productivity.

The economic analysis confirms that green building investments are financially sound, with attractive returns on investment over building lifecycles. However, several barriers must be addressed to accelerate adoption, including the market's focus on initial costs, knowledge gaps among professionals, limited end-user awareness, weak policy enforcement, and financing constraints.

Based on these findings, we recommend a multi-faceted approach to promoting green building practices in Pakistan:

1. **Policy enhancement**: Strengthen building energy codes and their enforcement mechanisms, potentially through capacity building for local building control authorities and third-party verification systems.
2. **Financial incentives**: Develop targeted incentives such as tax rebates, reduced permit fees, or density bonuses for green buildings, along with innovative financing mechanisms like green mortgages to overcome initial cost barriers.

3. **Capacity building**: Expand professional education and certification programs for architects, engineers, and construction professionals focusing on green building design, construction, and operation.
4. **Awareness campaigns**: Launch public awareness initiatives highlighting the benefits of green buildings to stimulate market demand and create pressure for improved practices.
5. **Demonstration projects**: Support showcase projects across different building types and locations to demonstrate the feasibility and benefits of green building approaches in local contexts.
6. **Research and adaptation**: Continue research to adapt international best practices to Pakistan's specific climatic, economic, and cultural contexts, with particular attention to passive design strategies that minimize dependence on mechanical systems.

The transition to green building practices represents a significant opportunity for Pakistan to address its energy challenges while creating healthier, more comfortable, and more resilient buildings. By simultaneously pursuing technical solutions, policy reforms, and market transformation, Pakistan can harness this potential and move toward a more sustainable built environment.

References

Ahmad, T., Aibinu, A. A., & Thaheem, M. J. (2020). Investigating the green building assessment schemes in Pakistan: Current status and future prospects. Journal of Cleaner Production, 252, 119852.

Al-Tamimi, N. A., & Fadzil, S. F. S. (2011). The potential of shading devices for temperature reduction in high-rise residential buildings in the tropics. Procedia Engineering, 21, 273-282.

Arif, S., Khan, A., & Alamgir, K. (2019). Energy consumption patterns in urban Pakistan: Challenges and opportunities for sustainable development. Journal of Building Engineering, 23, 334-342.

Bardhan, R., & Debnath, R. (2018). Evaluating building material based thermal comfort of a typical low-cost modular house in India. Materials Today: Proceedings, 5(1), 311-317.

Building Code of Pakistan-Energy Provisions. (2011). Ministry of Housing and Works, Government of Pakistan, Islamabad.

Hassan, J. S., Zin, R. M., Majid, M. Z. A., Balubaid, S., & Hainin, M. R. (2018). Building energy consumption in Malaysia: An overview. Jurnal Teknologi, 70(7), 33-38.

International Energy Agency (IEA). (2022). Energy Efficiency 2022. IEA, Paris.

Khalid, R., & Sunikka-Blank, M. (2018). Evolving houses, demanding practices: A case of rising electricity consumption of the middle class in Pakistan. Building and Environment, 143, 293-305.

Khan, H. S., & Asif, M. (2017). Impact of green roof and orientation on the energy performance of buildings: A case study from Saudi Arabia. Sustainability, 9(4), 640.

Malik, S., Fatima, F., Imran, H., Chuah, L. F., Klemeš, J. J., Khaliq, I. H., & Asif, S. (2020). Perspectives of low carbon emission in Pakistan: An environmental and economic assessment of Pak-TIMES energy model. Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, 124, 109766.

Masood, O. A., Khalil, H. S., & Asif, S. (2016). Heat insulation materials for building envelope applications in Pakistan: Issues and challenges. Science International, 28(3), 2889-2893.

Nguyen, H. T., Skitmore, M., Gray, M., Zhang, X., & Olanipekun, A. O. (2017). Will green building development take off? An exploratory study of barriers to green building in Vietnam. Resources, Conservation and Recycling, 127, 8-20.

Rashid, Y., Mahbub, R., & Setunge, S. (2019). Green building implementation barriers: A critical analysis of professionals' perspectives from Pakistan. International Journal of Construction Management, 1-9.

Shahzad, M., Farooq, M. S., Iqbal, J., & Younas, I. (2018). Carbon emission from commercial buildings in developing countries: A case study of Pakistan. Built Environment Project and Asset Management, 8(1), 15-25.

United States Green Building Council (USGBC). (2021). LEED v4.1 Building Design and Construction Guide. Washington DC.

World Green Building Council. (2020). Annual Report 2020: Leading the Green Building Movement. London, UK.

Zuo, J., & Zhao, Z. Y. (2014). Green building research – current status and future agenda: A review. Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, 30, 271-281.